



The Problem With The Use Of The Term "Government" In The Common Law Assemblies

By Cory Edmund Endrulat taplink.cc/coryhealth

"Your Government is supposed to be staffed by you."

Then it isn't government, it's self-government which is mere sovereignty (*super-reign*: "above rulers", self-ownership, self-mastery), there is no government. Akin to saying we are slaves to nature, slavery does not exist, self-slavery negates the whole notion of slavery. *Guverno-Mentis* (Government) = *Mind Control* in Latin.

"Its decisions are supposed to be made by you."

If you make your own decisions, you are free. There is no "it", there is only you and whoever you voluntarily interact with. You can call this "government", but it is just organization or business, it isn't a monopoly on violence with the imagined "right to rule." To not be a statist, is to by definition, make your own decisions.

"Its primary responsibility is to protect you and your property assets at all costs and against all comers. That's why governments exist. And that is the only reason for any government to exist, ever."

Why would you not be self-responsible? Why would we not want to encourage that? Is that not an assurance of self-ownership or sovereignty? It is required for freedom in the first place; an internal ruler negates need for external rulers. If you give away your ownership or responsibilities to others, you will never be free. Never anywhere in the history of the world has government ONLY protected the rights of individuals, it has ALWAYS done the opposite. If this "protection" is all paid voluntarily, it is not an issue, but again it is not "government."

"What if, instead, your government preys upon you, threatens you, harasses you, and makes you miserable and fearful?"

"Government" is just a group of people or a set of buildings, a bunch of pieces of paper. It does not exist in reality. For this group of people to do bad things to you, what would put them such a position in the first place? Statism, the documentation of which is only part. This makes them a bully, a gang, a mob; but with statism, it is even worse because people see their acts of violence as legitimate because of the imagined "authority." This differentiates government or legitimized slavery, from a group of people which everyone sees as wrong for the same actions. Does morality change when it's done by "authority"? If people don't break out of this left/right-election-president-minister-king-queen illusion-paradigm, they will never have rights because they claim those rights come from man and not by nature, so long as they create the imagined "right to rule".

"When you come home to the land and soil that bore you, and start breathing as a free woman or man again, you will remember the American Dream and you will no longer bear the burdens of Federal (US) citizenship."

My parents bore me, nature bore me. However I wish to see my own life, I shall see it for myself. Never should have anyone first felt the "burdens" of man-made "authority", because it is not reality. Escaping that may take away the burden, but embracing reality is what will prevent any more. Reality tells us that we are free by nature, and not by any other means. To understand this, as it is to also understand the nature of statism, we will create freedom and maintain it too, forever. Mark my words. Only knowing statism strikes at the roots.

Learn More:

https://www.nita.one/_files/ugd/0eec5a_4f35c8843fd0451881afb30f28601158.pdf?index=true

https://www.nita.one/_files/ugd/0eec5a_485279bcdd084cb7a7abc6054b419831.pdf?index=true

https://www.nita.one/_files/ugd/0eec5a_1ab1c252b4af491d89f9dac054f71434.pdf?index=true

<https://nita.one/advanced> (For More, Research Larken Rose, Jeremy Locke, Mark Passio, Derrick Broze)